

B=1 Soliton of the Nambu - Jona-Lasinio model in mediumCHR.V.CHRISTOV[†] AND K.GOEKE

*Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum,
D-4630 Bochum*

ABSTRACT

The solitonic sector of the Nambu - Jona-Lasinio model with baryon number one is solved in the presence of an external medium. The calculations fully include the polarization of both the Dirac sea and the medium as well as the Pauli blocking effect. We found that with an increasing density the medium destabilizes the soliton. At finite medium density the soliton mass gets reduced whereas the mean square baryon radius shows an increase - a swelling of the soliton. At some critical density of about two times nuclear matter density there is no localized solution - the soliton disappears.

[†]Permanent address: Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria

1. Introduction

The chiral symmetry is believed to be a dominant feature of the low-energy sector of QCD and in particular, in the structure of the low-lying hadrons. In the present absence of a solution to the non-perturbative QCD, different effective models have been employed to study the meson and baryon structure. Among them the Nambu - Jona-Lasinio model¹⁾ seems to play an essential role. From one hand the model accounts for the the chiral symmetry breaking - describes a structured vacuum and the mesons as elementary excitations of the non-vanishing $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ condensate and from the other - it incorporates the general accepted phenomenological picture of the baryon as a bound state of N_c valence quarks coupled to the quarks of the polarized Dirac sea. Quite encouraging, the model shows a significant success in describing the meson sector²⁻⁶⁾ as well as the nucleon as a baryon number one soliton⁷⁻¹⁴⁾.

As it is suggested by the lattice gauge QCD calculations¹⁵⁾, at some finite temperature and/or density one generally expects a restoration of the chiral symmetry and a deconfinement, and hence a change of the hadron structure. Following this idea to consider the restoration of the chiral symmetry in a medium as a relevant mechanism for a scale change which modifies the hadron structure, the NJL model has been also applied to study medium modifications of the meson properties¹⁶⁻¹⁹⁾. Indeed, adding a medium (partially occupied positive continuum) the model allows for the (partial) restoration of the chiral symmetry at finite density and/or temperature and thus, the model seems to provide an appropriate working scheme to study modifications of the meson properties in medium. Therefore, it is reasonable to apply the NJL model to study the structure of the more complicated objects like baryons in medium since the model allows one to treat exactly the polarizations of both the medium and the Dirac sea as well as the Pauli blocking effect. It is the purpose of present work to solve directly the B=1 soliton sector of the NJL model in a medium of finite density.

2. NJL model with a chemical potential

We start with the lagrangean of the NJL model with scalar and pseudoscalar quark-quark couplings¹):

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi - m_0 \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \frac{G}{2} [(\bar{\Psi} \Psi)^2 + (\bar{\Psi} \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \Psi)^2]. \quad (2.1)$$

Here Ψ describes a quark field of $SU(2)$ -flavour (u and d quarks), $N_c = 3$ colours and average current mass $m_0 = (m_u + m_d)/2$. Introducing auxiliary sigma and pion fields by $\sigma = -g \bar{\Psi} \Psi / \lambda^2$ and $\vec{\pi} = -g \bar{\Psi} i \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \Psi / \lambda^2$ lagrangean (2.1) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} [i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - g(\sigma + i \gamma_5 \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau})] \Psi + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2) - \frac{m_0 \lambda^2}{g} \sigma, \quad (2.2)$$

Assuming the boson fields to be classical (zero boson and one fermion loop approximation), one can use path integral techniques in euclidean metrics to evaluate the corresponding effective action S_{eff} as a sum of a quark part

$$S_{eff}^q = Sp \log \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + h - \mu \right) \quad (2.3)$$

and a meson one

$$S_{eff}^m = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2) - m_\pi^2 f_\pi \sigma \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here μ is the chemical potential and h is the single particle Hamiltonian

$$h = \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{i} + \beta g (\sigma + i \gamma_5 \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau}), \quad (2.5)$$

Sp means the functional and matrix (spin, isospin and colour) trace. In the meson part, using the PCAC, the current mass m_0 is eliminated in favor of the pion mass m_π and the pion decay constant f_π . The coupling constant G is related to λ^2 by $G = g^2 / \lambda^2$ where an additional coupling constant g is introduced for convenience. Actually, in order to fix our parameter by reproducing the pion decay constant f_π , g will be fixed later on to the physical coupling constant in order to identify the introduced auxiliary fields with the physical pion and sigma mesons.

Due to the local four-fermion interaction the lagrangean (2.1) is not renormalizable and a regularization procedure with an appropriate cutoff Λ is needed

to make the effective action finite. Actually, only a part of the effective action, $S_{eff}^q(\mu = 0)$, coming from the Dirac sea is divergent. Using the proper-time regulator²⁰):

$$\log \alpha \Rightarrow - \int_{\Lambda^{-2}}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} e^{-\tau\alpha}, \quad (2.6)$$

we regularize it. The rest is the contribution coming from the valence and medium quarks and it is finite. One can express the energy of the system (see for more details refs.^{10,18}) as a sum of a quark part

$$E^q = \eta_{val}\epsilon_{val} + \sum_{M \leq \epsilon_\alpha \leq \mu} \epsilon_\alpha + \frac{N_c}{2} \sum_{\alpha} R_{3/2}(\epsilon_\alpha, \Lambda) \quad (2.7)$$

with the proper-time regularization function

$$R_a(\epsilon, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{1/\Lambda^2}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^a} \exp(-\epsilon^2 \tau), \quad (2.8)$$

and the meson part which up to a trivial factor is given by eq.(2.4). Here M is the constituent quark mass, the energies ϵ_α are the eigenvalues of the hamiltonian (2.5)

$$h\phi_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha \phi_\alpha, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{val} &= 1 & \text{for } \epsilon_{val} > 0 \\ \eta_{val} &= 0 & \text{for } \epsilon_{val} < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The baryon density $\varrho(r)$ can be split in valence, medium and sea parts:

$$\varrho_{val}(\mathbf{r}) = \eta_{val} \phi_{val}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{val}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\varrho_{med}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{M \leq \epsilon_\alpha \leq \mu} \phi_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2.11b)$$

$$\varrho_{sea}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \phi_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \text{sign}(-\epsilon_\alpha), \quad (2.11c)$$

Using the spectral representation of the energies ϵ_α the equations of motions for the meson fields

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \sigma} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \vec{\pi}} = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = \frac{g}{\lambda^2} N_c \Big\{ \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha} R_{1/2}(\epsilon_{\alpha}, \Lambda) - \eta_{val} \bar{\phi}_{val} \phi_{val} \\ - \sum_{M \leq \epsilon_{\alpha} \leq \mu} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \Big\} + \frac{f_{\pi} m_{\pi}^2}{\lambda^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\pi} = \frac{g}{\lambda^2} N_c \Big\{ \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} i \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \phi_{\alpha} R_{1/2}(\epsilon_{\alpha}, \Lambda) - \eta_{val} \bar{\phi}_{val} i \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \phi_{val} \\ - \sum_{M \leq \epsilon_{\alpha} \leq \mu} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} i \gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \phi_{\alpha} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13b)$$

3. Fixing of model parameters

Apart from the current mass m_0 (eliminated using PCAC) the NJL model contains also as parameters the coupling constant G (or λ^2 in eq.(2.2)) and cutoff Λ . We fix these parameters in the meson (translationally invariant field configuration) sector of the vacuum.

First, in order to express the coupling constant λ^2 as a function of the constituent mass $M = g < \sigma >_v$, where $< \sigma >_v$ is the expectation value of the σ field in vacuum, we use the equation of motion of the sigma field eq.(2.13a) in vacuum (the gap equation):

$$\lambda^2 = N_c g^2 \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} R_2(M, \Lambda) + m_{\pi}^2 \quad (3.1)$$

The equation of motion of the pion field eq.(2.13b) gives a trivial solution in vacuum $< \vec{\pi} >_v = 0$.

Second, the cut-off Λ is fixed by reproducing the experimental values of the pion decay constant f_{π} and the pion mass m_{π} in the meson sector of the vacuum. This procedure originates from Eguchi²¹⁾ and is described in detail in ref.¹⁰⁾. This fixes the expectation value of the σ in vacuum

$$< \sigma >_v = f_{\pi} \quad (3.2)$$

and leads to the following relation between the constituent mass M and the cutoff Λ :

$$N_c g^2 \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} R_1(M, \Lambda) = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to check that this condition insures (in soft pion limit $m_\pi \approx 0$) that the auxiliary fields σ and $\vec{\pi}$ are the physical meson fields. Thus, in this scheme the vacuum value of the constituent mass $M = gf_\pi$ is the only free parameter. In principle, the empirical values²²⁾ of the quark condensate and the quark current mass can be used to fix M but actually they still leave a broad range for M .

At finite chemical potential we use unchanged the values of λ^2 and Λ . We also keep g fixed as a particular scale. It should be noted, however, that the solitonic solution does not depend on the particular way in which the auxiliary boson fields are scaled.

4. Numerical procedure

Similar to other works^{8,10,12,13)} we also use the finite quasi-discrete basis and numerical method of Ripka and Kahana²³⁾ for solving the eigenvalue problem (eq.(2.9)) by putting the system in a spherical box of a large radius D . The basis is made discrete by imposing a boundary condition at D . It is also made finite by restricting the momenta of the basis state to be smaller than a numerical cutoff K_{max} . Obviously both quantities have no any physical meaning and the results should not depend on them. The typical values, which we use, are $D \approx 20/M$ and $K_{max} \approx 7M$. In addition all checks^{10,13)} concerning the numerical stability of the solution with respect to varying the box size and the choice of the numerical cut-off have been done such that the actual calculation is completely under control.

5. Meson sector

As a first step we look for a translationally invariant solution (meson sector) $\partial_\mu \sigma = \partial_\mu \vec{\pi} = 0$ at vanishing as well as at finite chemical potential using the finite quasi-discrete basis²³⁾. Actually, for the solitonic solution later we need the translationally invariant meson field configuration, which makes the effective action S_{eff} stationary. To this end we solve the equations of motion eqs.(2.13a, 2.13b) together with Dirac equation (2.9) in the case of constant fields. For the pion field we get the trivial solution $\langle \vec{\pi} \rangle_\mu = 0$ (expectation value at finite chemical potential) whereas the equation of motion of the sigma field gives the medium-

modified value of the constituent quark mass[‡] $M^* = g < \sigma >_\mu$:

$$M^* = N_c \frac{g^2}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha} R_{1/2}(\epsilon_{\alpha}, \Lambda) - \sum_{M^* \leq \epsilon_{\alpha} \leq \mu} \bar{\phi}_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \right\} + \frac{M m_{\pi}^2}{\lambda^2}. \quad (5.1)$$

For a particular value of the chemical potential the baryon density of the medium is given by eq.(2.11b). In this case the contribution of the Dirac sea to the baryon density is exactly zero. It should be also noted that due to the boundary conditions on the basis functions, the medium density deviates from a constant value in the vicinity of the box surface. In order to diminish this finite size effects we always pay attention to have a box size large enough with respect to the size of the soliton. To be particular, at fixed medium density the results show within 5 % no dependence on both the box radius and the corresponding number of the quarks in the box. The stability of our translationally invariant solution with respect to finite size effects is illustrated in Fig. 1 where the constituent mass M^* , calculated in the Kahana-Ripka basis as a function of the baryon density, is compared with the results¹⁸⁾ obtained using a plane wave basis (no finite size effects). As can be seen that up to one and a half nuclear matter density (ϱ_{nm}) the two solutions almost coincide and start to deviate slightly at higher density values. It shows that the finite basis provides a satisfactorily good description of the positive energy continuum (medium). Needless to say that the reduction of the constituent mass, found at finite density, indicates a trend towards a restoration of the chiral symmetry in medium.

[‡]the asterisk means medium-modified value

6. B=1 solitonic (nucleon) sector

As a next step we solve the solitonic sector in medium. We look for a localized bound solution of three valence quarks interacting with the Fermi and Dirac sea quarks all of a constituent mass M^* . We use a numerical self-consistent iterative procedure¹⁰⁾ based on a method proposed by Ripka and Kahana²³⁾. The procedure consists in solving in an iterative way the Dirac equation (2.5) together with the equations of motion of the meson fields - eqs.(2.13a, 2.13b). The meson fields are assumed to be in a hedgehog form

$$\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\mathbf{r}}\pi(r) \quad (6.1)$$

and are restricted on the chiral circle

$$\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 = M^{*2}/g^2. \quad (6.2)$$

The techniques for the numerical procedure are well known^{8,10,12)} for the case of vanishing chemical potential where there is no contribution coming from the positive continuum. They can be easily adopted to the case of finite chemical potential. As can be seen the latter leads to an additional source (Fermi sea quarks) for the meson fields in eqs.(2.13a, 2.13b).

Because of the presence of the soliton both the Fermi sea and the Dirac sea get polarized. Subtracting the energy of the unperturbed Fermi and Dirac sea (translationally invariant solution) the B=1 soliton energy (effective soliton mass) is given by the sum of the energy of the valence quarks and the contributions due to the polarization of both continua:

$$E = \eta_{val}\epsilon_{val} + \sum_{M \leq \epsilon_\alpha \leq \mu} (\epsilon_\alpha - \epsilon_\alpha^0) + \frac{N_c}{2} \sum_\alpha \{R_{3/2}(\epsilon_\alpha, \Lambda) - R_{3/2}(\epsilon_\alpha^0, \Lambda)\} \\ + f_\pi m_\pi 2 \int d^3r \{M^*/g - \sigma(r)\}. \quad (6.3)$$

The energies ϵ_α^0 correspond to the translationally invariant solution.

The density dependence of the B=1 soliton mass calculated for two different values of the constituent mass $M = 420$ and $M = 465$ MeV is shown in Fig. 2. The two curves almost coincide and both show a strong reduction of the soliton mass at finite density. Hence one should conclude that at least for the constituent mass

between 400 and 470 MeV this medium effect seems to be practically independent on the particular value of M (vacuum value). For comparison we also present the mass of the soliton of the medium-modified σ -model lagrangean¹⁸⁾. As can be seen the latter shows a weaker density dependence. For instance, at ϱ_{nm} it was around 20 % whereas the present calculations give about 30%. This number is close to the estimate coming from the $\sigma - \omega$ model²⁴⁾ whereas the many-body nuclear theory²⁵⁾ gives a smaller number similar to results of the σ -model. It should be noted, however, that these calculations¹⁸⁾ suffer from the fact that the Fermi sea is not included and the Pauli blocking is not taken into account.

At about two times nuclear matter density we found no solitonic solution. It means that at some critical density the inclusion of the medium destroys the condition for the existence of a localized solution in the model. Similar effect is found^{18,26)} in other effective models like the Skyrme model with vector mesons and the Gell-Mann - Lévy σ -model. It is rather tempting to interpret this effect as a an indication of a delocalization of the nucleon in a dense medium but such a conclusion may go out of the scope of the NJL model itself because of the lack of confinement.

It is also interesting to look at the separate contributions to the soliton energy coming from the valence quarks as well as from the Fermi and Dirac sea (see Fig. 3). At constituent mass $M = 420$ MeV in the vacuum as well as in medium about a half of the soliton energy comes from the polarized Fermi and Dirac sea. With increasing medium density both the valence and the summed sea (Fermi+Dirac) contribution show a similar reduction. It should be noted, however, that the contribution of the Fermi sea alone is negative (opposite sign) and shows a different behaviour. Apart from the sign the contribution of the polarized Dirac sea shows a similar density dependence. It means that with increasing density the polarized Fermi sea trends towards a destabilization of the soliton whereas the polarized Dirac sea stabilizes it. As can be seen the contribution of the Dirac sea takes over and the summed sea contribution, which is a result of a cancellation of two large numbers, is positive. Apparently, at least in the present model calculations the contribution, coming from the polarized Fermi sea, plays an important role and can not be neglected. Close to the critical density the contributions of the polarized Fermi and Dirac compensate each other and the summed sea contribution

tends to vanish whereas the valence energy saturates. Since the localized solution exists because of the interplay between the valence and the sea contribution the vanishing of the latter leads to a destabilization of the soliton. This picture can be understood in the context of the partial restoration of the chiral symmetry, i.e. reduction of the chiral order parameter and of the constituent mass. The latter means a decrease of the gap of the Dirac spectrum. It leads to a reduction of the valence quark energy. We observe also that the Fermi and the Dirac sea are getting polarized at the same rate. Thus, the summed sea contribution vanishes and the soliton gets destabilized.

Similar to the energy the baryon density distribution of the soliton $\varrho_{sol}(r)$ can be split into valence and sea parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{sol}(r) = \eta_{val} \phi_{val}^\dagger(r) \phi_{val}(r) + \sum_{M^* \leq \epsilon_\alpha \leq \mu} \{ \phi_\alpha^\dagger(r) \phi_\alpha(r) - \phi_\alpha^{0\dagger}(r) \phi_\alpha^0(r) \} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\dagger(r) \phi_\alpha(r) \text{sign}(-\epsilon_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

The wave functions ϕ_α^0 correspond to the translationally invariant solution.

It is easy to check that the baryon number of the solitonic solution is one. The effect of the medium on the spatial distribution of the soliton is illustrated in Fig. 4 where the baryon density of the soliton is plotted for different values of the medium density. It is clear that at finite density the soliton gets more extended which supports the idea of swelling of the nucleon in medium. Close to two times nuclear matter density the solution shows a clear delocalization: the distribution is rather close to the uniform one.

As a measure of the spatial extension of the soliton in medium we also calculated the mean square radius of the soliton:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{sol} = \int d^3r r^2 \varrho_{sol}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (6.5)$$

The results are depicted in Fig. 5. As it is expected from the soliton density distributions in medium (shown in Fig. 4) the radius increases with increasing medium density. At densities close to the critical one it seems to diverge. Similar to the mass the relative change of the radius is larger than the estimates¹⁸⁾ in σ -model: at ϱ_{nm} the rms radius is increased by 30% whereas the solitonic sector of the σ -model gives an enhancement of about 20%.

7. Summary

We solved self-consistently the baryon number one solitonic sector of NJL model in order to investigate the structure of the solitonic solution with baryon number $B = 1$ immersed in a quark medium of finite density. medium in a self-consistent way. The polarization of both continua, namely the Fermi and the Dirac sea, as well as the Pauli blocking effect are treated on the same footing. We found that the polarization of the medium plays a role as important as the polarization of the Dirac sea and with increasing density the medium polarization destabilizes the soliton. The $B=1$ solitonic solution obtained gets more extended in medium (swelling). The mass gets reduced whereas the mean square radius increases. The relative changes of both quantities are larger than the estimates¹⁸⁾ obtained from the soliton sector of the medium-modified Gell-Mann - Lévy σ -model. At increasing medium density the soliton show a clear trend to a delocalization and at some critical value, less than two times nuclear matter density, it disappears.

The work has been supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, Bonn (Int. Büro), by the KFA Jülich (COSY – Project) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

REFERENCES

1. Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **122** (1961) 354
2. D.Ebert and M.K.Volkov, *Z. Phys.* **C 16** (1983) 205
3. V.Bernard, R.Brockmann, M.Schaden, W.Weise and E.Werner, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 412** (1984) 349
4. V.Bernard, *Phys. Rev.* **D 34** (1986) 1601
5. D.Ebert and H.Reinhardt, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 271** (1986) 188
6. J.da Providencia,M.C.Ruivo and C.A.de Sousa, *Phys. Rev.* **D 36** (1987) 1882
7. D.I.Diakonov, V.Yu.Petrov and P.V.Pobylitsa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 306** (1988) 809
8. H.Reinhardt and R.Wünsch, *Phys. Lett.* **215 B** (1988) 577; *Phys. Lett.* **230 B** (1989) 93
9. T.Meissner,E.Ruiz Arriola, F.Grümmer, K.Goeke, H.Mavromatis, *Phys. Lett.* **214 B** (1988) 312
10. T.Meissner, F.Grümmer and K.Goeke, *Phys. Lett.* **227 B** (1989) 296; *Ann. Phys.* **202** (1990) 297; T.Meissner and K.Goeke, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 524** (1991) 719
11. M.Praszalovicz, *Phys. Rev.* **B 42** (1990))216
12. R.Alkofer, *Phys. Lett.* **236 B** (1990) 461
13. M.Wakamatsu and H. Yoshiki, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 524** (1991) 561
14. K.Goeke, A.Górski, F.Grümmer, Th.Meißner, H. Reinhardt and R. Wünsch, *Phys. Lett.* **256 B** (1991) 321; A.Górski, F.Grümmer and K.Goeke, *Phys. Lett.* **278 B** (1992) 24

15. for reviews, see M.Fukugita, *Nucl. Phys. B* (Proc.Suppl.) 4(1988)105;
Nucl. Phys. B (Proc.Suppl.) 9(1989)291; A.Ukawa, *Nucl. Phys. B* (Proc.Suppl.)
10A(1989)66; *Nucl. Phys. A* **498** (1989) 227c
16. V.Bernard, Ulf-G.Meissner and I.Zahed, *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987) 819;
Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 966; V.Bernard and Ulf-G.Meissner, *Nucl.*
Phys. A **489** (1989) 647
17. M.Jaminon, G.Ripka and P.Stassart, *Nucl. Phys. A* **504** (1989) 733;
M.Jaminon, R.Mendez Galain, G.Ripka and P.Stassart, *Nucl. Phys. A* **537**
(1992) 418
18. Chr.V.Christov, E.Ruiz Arriola and K.Goeke, *Nucl. Phys. A* **510** (1990)
1990; *Phys. Lett.* **225 B** (1989) 22
19. M.Lutz, S.Klimt and W.Weise, *Nucl. Phys. A* **542** (1992) 531
20. J.Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951) 664
21. T.Eguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 2755
22. M.A.Shifman, A.J.Vainstein and V.I.Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979)
385; *Nucl. Phys. B* **163** (1980) 43
23. S.Kahana and G.Ripka, *Nucl. Phys. A* **429** (1984) 462
24. J.D.Walecka, *Ann. Phys.* **83** (1974) 491; B.D.Serot and J.D.Walecka,
Adv. in Nucl. Phys. v.16, eds. J.W.Negele and E.Vogt (Plenum Press,
New York, 1986)
25. C.Mahaux and R.Sartor, *Nucl. Phys. A* **475** (1987) 247
26. U.-G.Meissner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 1012; *Phys. Lett.* **220 B** (1989)

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Constituent quark mass M^* as a function of the medium baryon density. At vanishing density it is fixed to $M = 420$ MeV. The solid line represents the present calculations with a finite quasi-discrete basis whereas the dashed one - the calculations with plane-wave basis.
2. Soliton mass as a function of the medium baryon density for two different values of the constituent quark mass is compared to the mass of the soliton (dash-dotted line) of the medium-modified σ -model.
3. Soliton mass and the contributions coming from the valence quarks and from the polarized continua as a function of the medium baryon density for the constituent mass $M = 420$ MeV. The separated contributions from the polarization of the Fermi and Dirac sea are shown as well.
4. Density distribution of the soliton in medium for three different medium baryon densities. The constituent mass is $M = 420$ MeV.
5. Soliton mean square radius as a function of the medium baryon density for two different values of the constituent quark mass.